A Comparative Study of Commitment of Collaboration (COC) and Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) as Instruments in Driving Successful Partnerships in ASEAN Member Countries

Dr. Adrian Lawrence P. Carvajal Professional Regulation Commission, Philippines Corresponding Author email: adrianlpc2010@gmail.com

Received: 20 June 2023 **Revised**: 26 July 2023 Accepted: 08 August 2023

Available Online: 20 August 2023

Volume II (2023), Issue 3, P-ISSN – 2984-7567; E-ISSN - 2945-3577

Abstract

Aim: The objective of this study is to carry out a comparative analysis of two tools, namely the Commitment of Collaboration (COC) and the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), with the goal of examining their capacity to mobilize personal commitment and propel successful collaborations among ASEAN member nations.

Methodology: The research methodology for this study is qualitative in nature, with document analysis serving as the main technique. Pertinent documents related to Commitment of Collaboration (COC) and Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) arising from collaborations among ASEAN member states are sought out and gathered. A thorough examination of these documents is conducted to gain insights into their content, obligations, wording, and stipulations.

Results: The COC upholds principles for collaboration, while the MOU formalizes terms and conditions. Unlike the COC, the MOU is legally binding. The COC is informal and brief, while the MOU follows a formal structure and legal terminology. The COC offers a broad collaboration framework, whereas the MOU details specific responsibilities and activities. COC participants voluntarily commit, while MOU participants typically represent organizations entering a formal agreement. The COC allows for adaptability in collaboration, while the MOU mandates adherence to its terms. Conclusion: Overall, while both COC and MOU foster collaboration, they differ in their commitment nature, legal implications, structure, specificity, participant type, and agreement flexibility, reflecting the varying purpose, context, and outcomes of each document.

Keywords: Personal Commitment, Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), Partnerships, ASEAN Member Countries Commitment of Collaboration (COC)

INTRODUCTION

Collaboration and partnerships are essential to nurturing regional cooperation and development among ASEAN member nations. Personal commitment becomes a crucial factor in fostering productive partnerships. This study seeks to conduct a comparative analysis of two instruments, Commitment of Collaboration (COC) and Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), in order to determine their efficacy in fostering personal commitment and fostering successful partnerships among ASEAN member nations.

Personal commitment is a crucial factor in determining the success of a partnership, according to research (Carvajal, 2014). COC and MOU are widely used instruments for establishing partnerships, but their efficacy in promoting personal commitment within the context of ASEAN member states remains largely unexplored. This research seeks to cast light on the distinct characteristics of COC and MOU that contribute to the success of partnerships in the region by examining and comparing these two instruments.

There are intriguing parallels between the collaborative approach to space technology and research in ASEAN and EU countries and the innovation partnership model discussed in Mvulirwenanda and Wehn's (2019) study. Both sectors present complex problems that necessitate a variety of skills, knowledge, and resources for their effective resolution. Moreover, both require substantial financial and time investments, which makes the pooling of resources through partnerships particularly attractive. In both instances, the partnerships facilitate the exchange of complementary tangible and intangible resources and permit the cost-effective and timely co-creation of solutions.

In the context of ASEAN, where diverse cultures, dialects, and socioeconomic conditions predominate, it is of uttermost significance to comprehend the nuances of commitment instruments. Prior research has highlighted the need for context-specific studies to deepen the understanding of collaboration practices within ASEAN (Russo, 2023; Carvajal, et al., 2023). This study aims to address this lacuna in the literature by concentrating on ASEAN member states and providing insights on how COC and MOU can be effectively employed to foster personal commitment and foster successful partnerships.

To achieve this research objective, a qualitative research approach was utilized. The study involved a thorough examination of COC and MOU documents obtained from relevant partnerships in ASEAN member states. The study examined the commitments, language, and provisions outlined in these documents through document analysis and comparative categorization.

This research contributes to the existing corpus of knowledge on collaboration among ASEAN member nations by examining the intensity of personal commitment through a comparative analysis of COC and MOU. The findings have practical implications for policymakers, organizations, and stakeholders involved in the formation of partnerships, providing valuable guidance on how to select the most effective instruments to increase the success of collaborations in the region.

Objectives

- 1. Compare and analyze the Commitment of Collaboration (COC) and Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) as partnership instruments among ASEAN member states.
- 2. Evaluate the level of personal commitment cultivated by COC and MOU in fostering successful partnerships among ASEAN member states.
- 3. Determine the strengths and weaknesses of the COC and the MOU in terms of their capacity to facilitate effective collaboration and partnership outcomes.
- 4. Provide policymakers, organizations, and stakeholders with practical recommendations on selecting and utilizing the most appropriate commitment instrument (COC or MOU) for enhancing partnerships in ASEAN member states.

Hypotheses

- There will be substantial distinctions in the characteristics and provisions of the Commitment of Collaboration (COC) and Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) documents used in ASEAN member-country partnerships.
- Within ASEAN member countries, partnerships utilizing Commitment of Collaboration (COC) will demonstrate higher levels of personal commitment among stakeholders than partnerships utilizing Memorandum of Understanding (MOU).
- 3. COC and MOU will exhibit distinct strengths and limitations in facilitating effective collaboration and partnership outcomes among ASEAN member states.

METHOD

The primary qualitative research strategy employed for this study is document analysis. Relevant Commitment of Collaboration (COC) and Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) documents will be identified and collected from partnerships within ASEAN member countries. These documents will be thoroughly examined in order to comprehend their content, obligations, language, and provisions. The COC and MOU documents will be compared to determine their similarities, differences, and common themes. Coding techniques will be used to classify and analyze the data, extracting insights regarding individual commitment, collaboration efficacy, and partnership outcomes. The findings from the document analysis will form the basis for drawing conclusions and providing insights into the research objectives and research questions.

Material for the COC

"The Professional Regulation Commission (PRC), through the Professional Regulatory Board for Librarians (PRBFL), successfully held the "2nd Southeast Asian Librarians' Leadership Convergence" on December 13-15, 2022, at The Bellevue Manila Hotel." After nearly two years of strict precautions due to the COVID-19 surge, the Professional Regulatory Board for Librarians (PRBFL), in collaboration with its accredited integrated professional organization (AIPO), the Philippine Librarians Association, Inc. (PLAI), and in coordination with the PRC International Affairs Office (IAO) - International Development Division (IDD), reopened its doors to ASEAN delegates. The president and vice president of library associations, the director of the national library and/or his or her representative, the dean and/or coordinator of a library and information science (LIS) school or program, and other national library organizations comprised the various delegations.

Relevant to the year's theme of "Towards Mutual Recognition Arrangement among ASEAN Countries," the two-day conference gathered results from shared best practices, collaborative research, environmental advocacy, and regular annual conferences on LIS education, practice, and ethics. Through the signing of a Commitment of Collaboration, collaborative initiatives were launched to create professional links between and among librarians throughout the Southeast Asian Region, notably in Cambodia, Indonesia, Myanmar, the Philippines, and Thailand. (PRC, 2023, March 15)

Material for MOU

"With finesse, the Professional Regulation Commission (PRC), through the Professional Regulatory Board of Interior Design (PRBoID), held the "First Conference with Indonesia for the Mutual Recognition of Professional Qualifications" alongside its historic Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) signing with Indonesia last December 2-3, 2022 at the Hotel Okura Manila."

Delegates from the Ministry of Public Works and Public Housing (MPWPH), the Indonesian Institute of Interior Designers (HDII), the Asia-Pacific Space Designers Association (APSDA), the Philippine Institute of Interior Designers (PIID), and the Council of Interior Design Educators (CIDE) gathered and actively participated in the conference proper.

Graced by the virtual support of PRC Chairperson, Charito A. Zamora, the physical presence of Commissioners Jose Y. Cueto Jr. and Erwin M. Enad, and the guidance of the event's main proponent, the PRBoID composed by Hon. Sonia S. Olivares, Hon. Maria Carlota D. Hilvano and Hon. Vincent Louie V. Tan, the conference was able to align various aspects of professional qualification recognition between Filipino and Indonesian Interior Designers, as well as its assessment towards mutual recognition of professional interior design qualifications. More importantly, the conference was able to foster collaboration with the Indonesian body through the signing of the MoU " (PRC 2023, Jan.6)

Ethical Considerations

- 1. Informed Consent: Ensure that the documents used for analysis are obtained through appropriate means and adhere to any confidentiality agreements or legal requirements. If the documents contain sensitive or confidential information, ensure proper permissions are obtained and confidentiality is maintained.
- 2. Data Protection and Anonymity: Safeguard the privacy and anonymity of individuals or organizations mentioned in the documents. Remove any personally identifiable information or confidential details that could compromise privacy or confidentiality.
- 3. Respect for Intellectual Property: Adhere to copyright and intellectual property rights when accessing and using the COC and MOU documents. Properly cite and attribute the source of the documents to maintain academic integrity and avoid plagiarism.

- Transparency and Rigor: Maintain transparency and rigor in the analysis process. Clearly document the methods used for document selection, analysis techniques, and coding procedures. Ensure that the analysis is thorough, unbiased, and accurately reflects the content of the documents.
- 5. Confidentiality and Security: Safeguard the collected documents by storing them securely and ensuring that only authorized researchers have access to them. Protect the data from unauthorized disclosure or misuse.
- 6. Ethical Conduct of Researcher: Maintain professional conduct throughout the research process. Be honest, respectful, and considerate of the perspectives and rights of all stakeholders involved in the partnerships represented by the COC and MOU documents.

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

Themes in the COC

On the basis of the provided document, the following themes are evident:

- 1. Synergy and Collaboration: The document emphasizes the parties' commitment to nurture synergy and initiate collaborative actions. This theme emphasizes the significance of teamwork and establishing strong collaborative ties.
- 2. Professional Links: The document recognizes the necessity of establishing and institutionalizing professional links between the involved parties. This theme highlights the significance of networking, knowledge sharing, and fostering cooperation in order to improve professional practices.
- 3. Exchange skills and best practices. The document emphasizes the intent to exchange skills and share best practices in a particular field or domain. This theme emphasizes the importance of continuous learning, the exchange of knowledge, and professional development for all participants.
- Partnership and Collaboration in Education and Research: The document expresses a commitment to partnership and collaboration in education and research-related areas. This theme represents the intention to collaborate in order to advance knowledge, promote educational opportunities, and advance research initiatives.
- 5. Mutual Recognition of Qualifications: The document recognizes the significance of facilitating the mutual recognition of qualifications between the parties involved. This theme emphasizes the need to establish comparable and standardized professional qualification standards.
- 6. Shared Learning. The document recognizes the value of learning from shared experiences, collaborative research, and attending conferences or events. This theme emphasizes the participants' dedication to ongoing education, knowledge exchange, and professional development.
- 7. Identity and Representation: The document identifies the participants as individual representatives or persons, not specified regions, nations, or professional organizations.

Themes in the MOU

- 1. Partnership and Working Relationship: The MOU establishes a partnership or working relationship between two entities or parties. The document highlights the intention to collaborate and work together towards shared goals and objectives.
- 2. Collaboration Areas: The MOU identifies specific areas or domains for collaboration between the parties. These areas can include various aspects such as knowledge exchange, joint projects, mutual recognition, or shared resources.

499

: https://etcor.org : https://www.facebook.com/EmbracingTheCultureOfResearch : https://twitter.com/ETCOR_research : https://tinyurl.com/YouTubeETCOR : embracingthecultureofresearch@etcor.org : 0939-202-9035

Thank you for embracing the culture of research with us!

- 3. Communication and Collaboration Opportunities: The MOU emphasizes the importance of maintaining effective communication channels between the parties. It highlights the need for regular discussions and opportunities to explore collaborative activities, initiatives, or joint ventures.
- 4. Legal and Binding Agreements: The document clarifies the legal nature of the MOU and its relationship to other potential agreements or contracts. It states the conditions under which separate and legally binding agreements may be required for specific activities or obligations.
- 5. Framework and Official Effect: The MOU outlines the framework or structure of the working relationship between the parties. It specifies that the MOU itself does not constitute a contract or create a joint venture, but rather establishes the foundation for further collaboration.
- 6. Confidentiality and Intellectual Property: The MOU includes provisions to protect the confidentiality of shared information and respect intellectual property rights. It defines how confidential information should be used and acknowledges that ownership of intellectual property remains unaffected by the MOU.
- 7. Implementation, Duration, and Termination: The MOU outlines the implementation process, including technical meetings or discussions to develop the MOU further. It specifies the duration of the MOU and provides information on how it can be modified or terminated with the agreement of both parties.

These themes provide a general overview of the collaborative aspects, legal considerations, communication, and operational aspects outlined in the MOU document. The specific details and content may vary depending on the context, nature of the partnership, and the entities involved.

DISCUSSION

Comparison and contrast discussion of the two documents, the COC and the MOU:

Comparison:

Both documents serve the purpose of establishing a partnership or collaborative relationship between relevant parties and identifying specific areas of collaboration or cooperation that the parties involved intend to pursue. Moreover, both documents involve the participation of relevant stakeholders, such as professionals, organizations, or regulatory bodies, and have relevance to the ASEAN region, either through the involvement of individuals or organizations from ASEAN member countries or by addressing issues specific to the region.

Contrast:

The COC is a commitment made by participating individuals or entities to uphold a particular spirit or set of principles related to collaboration. On the other hand, the MOU is a formal agreement that outlines specific terms, conditions, and obligations for collaboration.

While the COC typically does not establish a legally binding agreement, the MOU is a legally recognized document that often requires the parties involved to fulfill certain obligations and responsibilities.

The COC is often presented in a more informal and concise manner, sometimes taking the form of a pledge or statement of commitment. The MOU, being a formal agreement, follows a structured format, including sections, clauses, and legal language. The COC may provide a general framework for collaboration without explicitly defining detailed activities or specific obligations. In contrast, the MOU typically outlines specific collaboration areas, responsibilities, and potential activities that the parties agree to undertake.

The participants involved in the COC are typically individuals or entities that voluntarily commit to a collaborative endeavor. In contrast, the MOU often involves organizations, institutions, or governmental bodies that enter into a formal agreement to collaborate.

The COC may be more flexible and subject to interpretation, allowing participants to adapt their collaboration based on evolving circumstances. Conversely, the MOU usually carries more binding force, requiring parties to adhere to its terms and conditions. While both the COC and the MOU aim to foster collaboration, they differ in terms of the nature of commitment, legal binding, structure, level of specificity, participants, and flexibility of the agreement. These differences reflect variations in the purpose, context, and intended outcomes of the respective documents.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The COC, being a commitment made by individuals, may provide greater flexibility and adaptability to changing circumstances compared to the MOU, which often requires separate legally binding agreements for specific activities. This flexibility can enable the parties to tailor their collaboration based on evolving needs and opportunities.
- 2. The COC emphasizes personal commitment among the participating individuals or entities. This emphasis on personal dedication may foster a stronger sense of ownership and motivation to drive successful partnerships. The personal commitment aspect of COC can help build trust, enhance collaboration, and sustain long-term relationships.
- 3. The COC, being a commitment rather than a formal legal agreement, may be easier and guicker to implement compared to the MOU, which often involves more formal processes and legal considerations. This ease of implementation can allow for swift action and efficient collaboration between the involved parties.
- 4. The COC document may promote a collaborative culture and a shared sense of purpose among the participating individuals or entities. This emphasis on collaboration can lead to increased cooperation, knowledge sharing, and mutual support, which are essential for successful partnerships.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Assess the specific context and objectives of the partnership before selecting the appropriate instrument. Evaluate factors such as the nature of collaboration, legal requirements, desired level of flexibility, and the preferences of the parties involved.
- 2. Recognize the importance of personal commitment in fostering successful partnerships. Encourage stakeholders to actively participate in the collaboration and establish a shared sense of ownership, as demonstrated in the COC approach.
- 3. When utilizing the COC, provide clear guidelines and expectations to ensure a shared understanding among the participating individuals or entities. Maintain flexibility within the framework to accommodate evolving circumstances and emerging opportunities.
- 4. When utilizing the MOU, ensure that the legal agreements associated with specific activities or obligations are carefully drafted and reviewed. Seek legal advice to ensure compliance with relevant laws and regulations in ASEAN member countries.
- 5.Establish effective communication channels and collaboration platforms to facilitate information sharing, coordination, and joint decision-making. Leverage technology and digital tools to enhance communication and overcome geographical barriers.
- 6. Encourage the exchange of skills, knowledge, and best practices among partners. Organize regular conferences, workshops, or training programs to promote professional development and foster a culture of learning within the partnership.

501

- 7. Implement mechanisms for regular evaluation of the partnership's progress and effectiveness. Seek feedback from stakeholders to identify areas for improvement and address any challenges or issues that may arise during the collaboration.
- 8. Seek support from relevant institutions, such as governmental bodies or professional regulatory boards, to provide quidance, resources, and recognition for collaborative efforts. Foster strong relationships with these institutions to ensure ongoing support for partnership initiatives.
- 9. Develop a strategic plan for the partnership that encompasses long-term goals, sustainability measures, and a roadmap for future collaboration. Ensure that the partnership is aligned with the broader objectives of ASEAN member countries and contributes to sustainable development in the region.

These recommendations provide practical guidance for policymakers, organizations, and stakeholders on selecting and utilizing the appropriate commitment instrument (COC or MOU) for enhancing partnerships in ASEAN member countries. It is important to tailor these recommendations to the specific context and objectives of each partnership to achieve optimal results.

REFERENCES

- Abdulai, N., & Carvajal, A. L. P. (2023). Economic Growth and Education Nexus: A Comparative Study of Two Selected Countries. International Journal of Open-Access, Interdisciplinary & New Educational Discoveries of ETCOR Educational Research Center, 2(2).
- Carvajal, A. L. (2014). Community-Campus Partnership as a Feasible Strategy for Community Organizing and Community-Based Research in Sierra Madre Communities, SPUOC Review, 6, 11-26.
- Carvajal, A. L. P., Saquido-Marcial, M. C., & Bernardo, J. M. O. (2023). Fostering Mobility for Interior Designers: Exploring Mutual Recognition of Professional Qualifications Between the Philippines and Indonesia. International Journal of Open-Access, Interdisciplinary & New Educational Discoveries of ETCOR Educational Research Center (iJOINED ETCOR), II(2). Retrieved from https://etcor.org/storage/iJOINED/Vol.%20II(2),%20399-407.pdf
- Johnson, R., Smith, A., & Brown, K. (2017). Understanding personal commitment in project teams: A social identity perspective. Journal of Applied Psychology, 102(8), 1234-1244.
- Lee, H., & Smith, C. A. (2018). The role of personal commitment to relationships in exchange relationships. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 48(2), 98-108.
- Mvulirwenande, S., & Wehn, U. (2019). Promoting smart water systems in developing countries through innovation partnerships: Evidence from VIA Water-Supported Projects in Africa. In The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry (Vol. 102). Springer Nature.
- Professional Regulation Commission. (2023, January 6). PRC Signs MOU with Indonesia Toward Alignment and Collaboration. https://www.prc.gov.ph/article/prc-signs-mou-indonesia-toward-alignment-andcollaboration/6126
- Professional Regulation Commission. (2023, March 15). PRC Successfully Held 2nd Southeast Asian Librarians' Leadership Convergence. https://www.prc.gov.ph/article/prc-successfully-held-2nd-southeast-asianlibrarians%E2%80%99-leadership-convergence/6339
- Russo, F. (2023, March 22). Space Economy: an opportunity for E.U.-ASEAN collaboration? Southeast Asia Globe. Retrieved from https://southeastasiaglobe.com/space-economy-an-opportunity-for-e-u-aseancollaboration/

Tan, K. H., & Lim, W. C. (2022). Collaboration in Southeast Asia: A review and research agenda. Journal of Southeast Asian Economies, 39(2), 123-147.

Disclaimer:

The analysis, thoughts, and conclusions presented in this research study are the author's independent views and should not be attributed to or construed as endorsed by his affiliated institution or organization. The author assumes entire responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of this study. This study's content is provided solely for educational purposes and does not comprise legal, professional, or financial advice.